

SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS

Student: Abebe Alemu Wendimu

Supervisor: doc. Ing. Radek Matušů, Ph.D.

Study program: Automatic Control and Informatics in Industry 4.0

Study course/Specialization: -

Academic year: 2022/2023

Master's Thesis topic: Modeling and Fractional-Order Control of Twin Rotor MIMO System

Evaluation:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Evaluation: A – Best; F - Unsatisfactory					
1. Fulfilment of all points of the assignment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Suitability of chosen resolution methods	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Division of work (chapters, subchapters, paragraphs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Working with literature and citations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Level of linguistic elaboration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Formal level of work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Theoretical part elaboration quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Practical part elaboration quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Achieved results of the work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Result of the plagiarism test:

The work was assessed in terms of plagiarism with the result of 2% identity for the main text file "fulltext.pdf". Some attached MDL files (Simulink models) show a higher level of identity (up to 51%), but this is understandable. Work is not plagiarism.

Overall evaluation of the thesis:

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient.

Grade F also means “I do not recommend this thesis for defence.”

I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation:

A - Excellent.

In the case of an “F – Insufficient” grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.

The student worked systematically, responsibly, and very independently. He created a superior diploma thesis with a hint of research overlap, which is a promise for the possible continuation in doctoral studies.

Date: 31. 8. 2023

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: